For the Northern District of California

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	ARLENE MARILYN RAZZARI, No. C 16-2945 JSW
10	Plaintiff,
11	v. ORDER RE CONSENT
12	CAROLYN W. COLVIN,
13	Defendant.
14	

In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. See Civil L.R. 73-1(b). The parties are DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than June 24, 2016, as to whether they consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action.1 For the parties' convenience, consent forms are available at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov, in the "Forms" section. The parties are further advised that they may jointly request assignment to a specific magistrate judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 2, 2016

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their joint case management statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.